

Common Carrier Bureau adopted an order removing all remaining caps for individual study areas, retroactive to January 1, 2000.⁸

On May 7, 1997, the Commission extended the current high-cost support mechanisms for rural carriers at least until January 1, 2001, and for other carriers until January 1, 1999.⁹ The implementation date for the forward-looking cost models for non-rural LECs was subsequently delayed until January 1, 2000. For non-rural carriers, the current support mechanisms have now been replaced with new ones using forward-looking costs instead of embedded costs. On November 23, 1998, the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (Joint Board) recommended that a forward-looking cost model should be used to determine federal support, but that the Commission should continue to measure costs at a study area level, rather than at a more disaggregated level that had previously been recommended.¹⁰ They also made other recommendations regarding the shared jurisdictional responsibility for high cost support and a provision to hold states harmless, so that no non-rural carrier will receive less federal high-cost assistance than the amount it would receive from explicit federal support mechanisms. Many of these other recommendations were adopted by the Commission on May 27, 1999.¹¹ At the same time, the Commission sought further comment on whether costs should be measured at the study area level.

On October 21, 1999, based on recommendations from the Joint Board, the Commission adopted a new high-cost support mechanism for non-rural carriers.¹² The new mechanism is based on the forward-looking costs of providing supported services¹³ as determined by the Commission's cost model.¹⁴ For each state, the cost model calculates the wire center average

8 *Petitions for Waiver Concerning the Definition of "Study Area" Contained in Part 36 Appendix-Glossary of the Commission's Rules*, Order, CC Docket No. 96-45 (released August 4, 2000).

9 *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, Report and Order, released May 8, 1997, para. 203-204.

10 *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, *Second Recommended Decision*, FCC 98J-7 (released November 25, 1998).

11 *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, *Seventh Report & Order and Thirteenth Order on Reconsideration*, FCC 99-119 (released May 28, 1999).

12 *High-Cost Methodology Order*, FCC 99-306.

13 The services eligible for federal universal service support are listed in section 54.101 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.101.

14 The cost model consists of: (1) a model platform, which contains a series of fixed assumptions about network design and engineering; and (2) input values for the model platform, such as the cost of network components, e.g., cables and switches, as well as

forward-looking cost per line incurred by non-rural carriers to provide supported services. These wire center average costs are then averaged at the statewide level to determine the statewide average forward-looking cost per line. The forward-looking support mechanism provides support to non-rural carriers in those states that have a statewide average forward-looking cost per line greater than the national benchmark, which is set at 135 percent of the national average forward-looking cost per line.¹⁵

After determining the total amount of forward-looking support provided to non-rural carriers in a particular state, the support is then targeted to individual wire centers that have forward-looking costs in excess of the benchmark.¹⁶ Under the targeting approach, the amount of support provided to a non-rural carrier serving a particular wire center depends on the relative costs in that wire center and the number of lines served by the carrier. By comparing the relative costs in various above-benchmark wire centers, the targeting approach enables the Commission to provide greater amounts of support to carriers serving lines in wire centers with costs further above the benchmark. Thus, unlike providing a uniform per line statewide support amount, the targeting approach provides support in an amount commensurate with the cost of service, thereby encouraging carriers to serve high-cost areas.

The Commission also adopted a transitional “hold-harmless” measure to prevent rate shocks and disruptions in state rate designs when the new mechanism takes effect. As adopted, no non-rural telephone company would receive less support than it received under the existing embedded HCL support mechanism during the transition period. The Joint Board has recommended that interim hold-harmless support be phased down beginning January 1, 2001.¹⁷

various capital cost parameters. The Commission adopted the model platform in the *Platform Order* released in October 1998. *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, *Forward-Looking Mechanism for High-Cost Support for Non-Rural LECs*, CC Docket No. 97-160, Fifth Report and Order, 13 FCC Rcd 21323 (1998) (*Platform Order*). The Commission adopted input values in the *Inputs Order* released in November 1999. *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, *Forward-Looking Mechanism for High-Cost Support for Non-Rural LECs*, CC Docket No. 97-160, Tenth Report and Order, FCC 99-304 (rel. Nov. 2, 1999) (*Inputs Order*).

15 *High-Cost Methodology Order*, FCC 99-306 at paras. 10 and 55. The forward-looking support mechanism provides support for all intrastate costs that exceed the benchmark. *High-Cost Methodology Order*, FCC 99-306 at paras. 60 - 63. Intrastate costs account for 76 percent of all forward-looking costs estimated by the model. *High-Cost Methodology Order*, FCC 99-306 at para. 63. Therefore, the forward-looking mechanism provides support for 76 percent of the forward-looking costs that exceed the benchmark. *High-Cost Methodology Order*, FCC 99-306 at para. 63.

16 *High-Cost Methodology Order*, FCC 99-306 at paras. 68-76.

17 See *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45,

Additionally, state commissions must certify by October 1, 2000 that carriers are eligible to receive hold-harmless support amounts in 2001.

LTS is related to interstate non-traffic sensitive costs. LTS provides support to the members of the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) common line pool, to allow them to charge a below-cost carrier common line (CCL) rate that is uniform for all companies in the pool. Prior to 1989, all LECs were required to be part of the NECA common line (CL) pool, and CCL rates were uniform nationwide. On April 1, 1989, companies were permitted to withdraw from the NECA CL pool and provide jurisdictionally specific CCL access charges.¹⁸

To reduce disparities in CCL rates among LECs after companies were permitted to withdraw from the CL pool, two support mechanisms were set up. Transitional support consisted of payments from low-cost companies that withdrew from the pool to high-cost companies that withdrew from the pool. The transition period has ended. Long term support (LTS) originally consisted of payments to the NECA CL pool from companies that withdrew from the NECA CL pool. Companies remaining in the NECA pool have identical CCL rates, which were formerly equal to the average CCL rate of the price cap companies. Effective January 1, 1998, the funds for LTS now come from the new federal universal service support mechanisms. At the same time, the NECA pool rate no longer was made equal to the average price cap rate. Rather, the amount of LTS that a NECA pool member is eligible to receive in 1998 is the 1997 level of LTS (the difference between 1997 CCL revenue requirements and the sum of 1997 CCL revenues using the NECA pool rate and 1997 subscriber line charge revenues) multiplied by the rate of growth of the national average NTS cost per loop.

Nationwide pool results provided by NECA for 1999 are shown in Tables 3.5 and 3.6. Table 3.5 summarizes the CL pool revenues and expenses for the year 1999, as well as a comparison with the corresponding figures for 1998. Table 3.6 has comparable figures for NECA's voluntary traffic sensitive pool.

Table 3.7 provides a history of LTS payments. The data are based on the annual NECA NTS pool report (see Table 3.5) from February of the following year.

LSS is related to traffic sensitive local switching costs. The local switching support is now recovered through the universal service support mechanisms, rather than through higher traffic-sensitive access charges. Until 1997, this support was based on dial equipment minute (DEM) weighting. LSS provides support to LECs with study areas of 50,000 or fewer access lines, to help defray the higher switching costs of small LECs. The portion of these costs that are normally allocated to interstate is determined by the ratio of interstate to total dial equipment minutes, known as the DEM factor. However, local exchange carrier study areas with 50,000

Recommended Decision, FCC 00J-1 (released June 30, 2000.)

18 See previous Monitoring Reports for a detailed list of which companies are no longer in the NECA CL pool.

access lines or fewer had that portion multiplied by a weighting factor, which was determined by the number of access lines in the study area.¹⁹ The resulting weighted DEM factor (which was not permitted to exceed .85) allowed these study areas to recover a greater portion of their local switching costs from interexchange carriers in the form of higher access charges.²⁰

Beginning in 1998, the LSS factor is calculated as the difference between the 1996 weighted DEM factor and the 1996 unweighted DEM factor. It is subject to the limit that the sum of the DEM factor and the LSS factor shall not exceed .85. Also, if the number of lines has increased since 1996 across one of the limit values of 10,000 or 20,000 or 50,000 lines, the 1996 weighted DEM factor used for computing the LSS factor is adjusted to reflect the weighting factor appropriate for the new number of lines. Table 3.8 provides a history of LSS payments since 1993.

On May 31, 2000, the Commission established an explicit interstate access universal service support mechanism for price cap carriers to replace the implicit support previously collected through interstate access charges.²¹ Like LTS, the purpose of this new mechanism is to provide explicit support to ensure reasonably affordable interstate rates. This is in contrast to the Commission's other high-cost support mechanisms, which provide support to enable states to ensure reasonably affordable and comparable intrastate rates. The new mechanism provides support to carriers serving lines in areas where they are unable to recover their permitted revenues from the newly revised subscriber line charges. The support is fixed at an aggregate annual amount of \$650 million. It is targeted to the density zones that have the greatest need for it. It is provided on a portable, per-line basis. It is available on a competitively neutral basis to any eligible telecommunications carrier serving a supported customer, regardless of the technology used by that carrier. Because of the newness of this mechanism, no data are yet available for it.

Each of the universal service support mechanisms are administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC), an independent subsidiary of NECA. As part of its administration of these support mechanisms, USAC files quarterly reports with the Commission. These reports include quarterly projections of the amounts to be paid for each program, along with true-ups (differences between actual payments and projections) for prior periods, administrative expenses and interest income. The report for the second quarter of 2000 was filed

19 The weighting factors, which became effective in 1993, are shown in Table 3.6 of the December 1998 and June 1999 Monitoring Reports.

20 The weighted and unweighted DEM factors are shown in section 8 of this report.

21 *Access Charge Reform, Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, Low-Volume Long Distance Users, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, Sixth Report and Order in CC Docket Nos. 96-262 and 94-1, Report and Order in CC Docket No. 99-249, Eleventh Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 00-193 (released May 31, 2000) (*CALLS Order*).

on February 1, 2000; the report for the third quarter of 2000 was filed on May 2, 2000; and the report for the fourth quarter of 2000 was filed on August 2, 2000.²² Table 3.9 summarizes the annual amounts for the high-cost programs for 1998 through 2000 and the quarterly amounts for 2000.²³ Table 3.10 provides a summary by state of the total amounts for 2000 of these projected payments. These values are based on the filing for the fourth quarter, which includes revisions for the first three quarters. The values in this table do not take into account the new non-rural support mechanisms. Table 3.11 provides preliminary estimates, by state, of the high cost support using the new non-rural forward-looking high cost model support mechanism, along with the hold-harmless support.²⁴

The next four tables in this section are data for individual study areas. Tables 3.12 through 3.15 are derived from the quarterly USAC filings. Table 3.12 has High Cost Loop Support payments. The values in this table are based on embedded costs and do not take into account the new non-rural support mechanisms. Table 3.13 has Long Term Support payments. Table 3.14 has Local Switching Support payments. Table 3.15 has the total support payments for all three programs. Each of these tables contains the annual amounts projected for 1998, 1999, and 2000 and the quarterly amounts projected for the four quarters of 2000. Table 3.14 shows the actual payments after true-ups for 1998 as well as the latest projections for that year, and the difference between the two. The 1998 totals in Table 3.15 incorporate the actual payments for that year from Table 3.14. Table 3.16 provides preliminary estimates, by study area, of the high cost support using the new non-rural forward-looking high cost model support mechanism, along with the hold-harmless support.²⁵

22 The filing dates for projections for previous quarters can be found in the June 1999 Monitoring Report.

23 These are based on Exhibit 3 of the October 1997 report, Appendix M5 of the August 2000 report, and Exhibit 5 of the other reports. The third quarter 2000 amount for interstate access support was provided in the text of a June 2, 2000 revised filing.

24 The estimates for the new high cost model support are based on filings for the first and third quarters on May 2, 2000 and for the second and fourth quarters on August 2, 2000. The hold-harmless and rural support amounts are calculated from the quarterly data in Table 3.15.

25 The apparent anomaly of and US West-Wyoming getting both high-cost model support and hold-harmless support is the result of the hold-harmless amount being greater in the first quarter and the model amount being greater for the rest of the year. The difference between the high cost model totals in Tables 3.11 and 3.16 are due to the cost model support amounts being smaller than the hold-harmless amounts for US West-Wyoming and GTE South-Kentucky in the first quarter. Table 3.11 reflects the actual support using the model, while Table 3.16 reflects what the model support would have been if there had not been hold-harmless support.

Table 3.1

Embedded High-Cost Loop Fund Formulas
(Used Since 1988)

Cost Range as % of National Average	% Expense Adjustment within Range
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Study Areas with Over 200,000 Loops

0% - 115%	0%
115% - 160%	10%
160% - 200%	30%
200% - 250%	60%
250% and above	75%

Study Areas with 200,000 Loops or Fewer

0% - 115%	0%
115% - 150%	65%
150% and above	75%

Beginning January 1, 2000, these are only applicable to rural companies and to hold-harmless support for non-rural companies.

Table 3.2

Universal Service High Cost Loop Fund Payment History

Year Costs Incurred	Payment Year	Actual Payments	Transition Factor	Projected Payments at Full Transition	Annual Growth In Payments	Full Transition
1984	1986	\$55,626,903	1/8	\$445,015,224		
1985	1987	\$125,691,874	1/4	\$502,767,496	125.96%	12.98%
1986	1988	\$183,268,189	3/8	\$488,715,171	45.81%	-2.79%
1987	1989	\$264,553,840	1/2	\$529,107,680	44.35%	8.27%
1988	1990	\$339,176,069	5/8	\$542,681,710	28.21%	2.57%
1989	1991	\$484,814,443	3/4	\$646,419,257	42.94%	19.12%
1990	1992	\$609,361,768	7/8	\$696,413,449	25.69%	7.73%
1991	1993	\$705,121,573	1	\$705,121,573	15.71%	1.25%
1992	1994	\$725,434,165	1	\$761,523,851	2.88%	8.00%
1993	1995	\$749,546,328	1	\$805,562,633	3.32%	5.78%
1994	1996	\$762,697,762	1	\$762,697,762	1.75%	-5.32%
1995	1997	\$793,564,270	1	\$816,228,224	4.05%	7.02%
1996	1998	\$827,282,022	1	\$865,779,880	4.25%	6.07%
1997	1999	\$864,137,436	1	\$929,535,267	4.45%	7.36%
1998	2000	\$893,786,856	1	\$1,026,499,393	3.43%	10.43%

Notes: Payments for 1986 through 1997 are final and not subject to further adjustment.

Payments for 1998 through 2000 are as of the June 2000 settlement cycle and subject to change due to the 24-month settlements adjustment window.

Payments for 1994, 1995, and 1997 through 2000 are limited by the USF Cap. Amounts shown in the Projected Payments at Full Transition column are before application of the USF Cap.

Payments for 1996 were also limited by the USF Cap. However, since the USF Cap limit was \$776,942,246, there was no impact on actual payments. Amount in the Projected Payments at Full Transition column are actual payments.

Payments for 2000 are calculated as if all non-rural companies have only hold-harmless support, and not forward-looking cost support.

Table 3.3
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Ended Effective January 1, 2000

SAC	SA Name	MO&O Released	USF Limit or Maximum Increase
452176	Valley Tel. Coop. ¹	2/21/95	Max Increase \$0
452226	Midvale Tel. Exchange ²	2/21/95	Max Increase \$0
453334	Table Top Tel. ³	2/21/95	USF Limit \$219,292
420463	BPS Tel. Co. ⁴	1/17/96	USF Limit \$207,535
381604	BEK Comm. Coop. ⁵	4/4/96	USF Limit \$407,534
381607	Consolidated Tel. Coop. ⁶	4/4/96	USF Limit \$900,294
381610	Dakota Central Telecomm. Coop. ⁷	4/4/96	USF Limit \$312,079
381616	Inter-Community Tel. ⁸	4/4/96	USF Limit \$311,304
381632	Reservation Tel. Coop. ⁹	4/4/96	USF Limit \$371,679
381637	West River Telecomm. Coop. ¹⁰	4/4/96	USF Limit \$2,171,800
391676	Sanborn Tel. Coop. ¹¹	4/11/96	USF Limit \$0

1 See U S WEST Communications, Inc., Copper Valley Telephone, Inc., Midvale Telephone Exchange and Table Top Telephone Company, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 3373, 3376 (1995).

2 Id.

3 Id.

4 See BPS Telephone Company, Cass County Telephone Company, GTE Midwest Incorporated, and Ozark Telephone Company, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 1815, 1818 (1996).

5 See BEK Communications I, Inc., CTC Communications, Inc.; Dakota Central Telecom I, Inc.; Dickey Rural Communications, Inc.; Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative, Gilby Telephone Company, Griggs County Telephone Company, Inter-Community Telephone Company II, Inc., Moore & Liberty Telephone Company, North Dakota Telephone Company, Northwest Communications Cooperative, Red River Telecom, Inc., RTC II, Inc., Turtle Mountain Communications, Inc., U S West Communications, Inc., United Telephone Mutual Aid Cooperative, West River Communications, Inc. and York Telephone Company, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 10855, 10865 (1996).

6 Id.

7 Id.

8 Id.

9 Id.

10 Id.

Table 3.3
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Ended Effective January 1, 2000

391679	Stockholm-Stranburg Tel. ¹²	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$113,874
391680	Sully Buttes Tel. Coop. ¹³	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$222,510
391685	Valley Telecomm. Coop. ¹⁴	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0
140069	Waitsfield-Fayston Tel. Co. ¹⁵	6/14/96	USF Limit	\$1,819,419
143331	Northland Tel. Co. ¹⁶	6/14/96	USF Limit	\$547,800
502286	So. Central Utah ¹⁷	6/14/96	USF Limit	\$367,051
492264	Leaco Rural Tel. Coop. ¹⁸	7/11/96	USF Limit	\$616,053

11 See Accent Communications, Inc., Armour Independent Telephone Company, Bridgewater-Canistota Independent Telephone Company, Dakota Cooperative Telecommunications, Inc., Dakota Telecommunications Systems, Inc., Fort Randall Telephone Company, Golden West Telephone Properties, Inc., G.W.S., Inc., Hanson Communications, Inc., Hanson Communications, Inc. d/b/a McCook Telecom, Hanson County Telephone, Inc., Heartland Communications, Inc., Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc., Intrastate Telephone Company, Inc., James Valley Cooperative Telephone Company, Kennebec Telephone Company, Inc., McCook Cooperative Telephone Company, Midstate Telephone Company, Mobridge Telecommunications Company; RC Communications, Inc.; Roberts County Telephone Cooperative Association; Sanborn Telephone Cooperative, Sancom, Inc., Splitrock Properties, Inc., Splitrock Telecom Cooperative, Inc., State Line Telecommunications, Inc., Stockholm-Stranburg Telephone Co., Sully Buttes Telephone Cooperative, Inc., U S West Communications, Inc., Valley Cable & Satellite Communications, Inc., Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Association, Inc., Venture Communications, Inc., West River Cooperative Telephone Company, West River Telecommunications Cooperative and Western Telephone Company, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 11513, 11528 (1996).

12 Id.

13 Id.

14 Id.

15 See Champlain Valley Telecom, Inc., Northland Telephone Company of Vermont, and Vermont Telephone Company, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 7111, 7119 (1996).

16 Id.

17 See Skyline Telecom., South Central Telephone Association, Inc., and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 7097, 7101 (1996)..

18 See Leaco Rural Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Roosevelt County Rural Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Tularosa Basin Telephone Company, Inc., and U

(cont.)

Table 3.3
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Ended Effective January 1, 2000

492265	Tularosa Basin Tel. Co. ¹⁹	7/11/96	USF Limit	\$148,700
472213	Albion Tel. Co. ²⁰	8/29/96	USF Limit	\$713,951
472215	Cambridge Tel. Co. ²¹	8/29/96	USF Limit	\$310,748
472222	Fremont Tel. Co. ²²	8/29/96	USF Limit	\$1,268,662
472226	Midvale Tel. Exch. ²³	8/29/96	USF Limit	\$350,367
472232	Rockland Tel. Co. ²⁴	8/29/96	USF Limit	\$454,213

S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 8066, 8072 (1996).

19 Id.

20 See Albion Telephone Co., Cambridge Telephone Co., Inc. Fremont Telcom Co., Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc., Rockland Telephone Co., Inc., and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 10138, 10142 (1996).

21 Id.

22 Id.

23 Id.

24 Id.

Table 3.4
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Applied To 2000 Expense Adjustment Payments

SAC	SA Name	MO&O Released	USF Limit or Maximum Increase
462209	Wiggins Tel. Assoc. ²⁵	8/10/93	Max Increase \$10,000
553304	Humboldt Tel. ²⁶	9/14/94	Max Increase \$381,768
351337	Winnebago Coop. Tel. Assoc. ²⁷	12/1/94	Max Increase \$1,000
432018	Pioneer Tel. Coop. ²⁸	12/1/94	Max Increase \$1,197,540
613023	United Utilities ²⁹	12/1/94	Max Increase \$81,042
542308	Citizens Utilities- CA ³⁰	12/6/94	Max Increase \$839,796
462185	Eagle Tel. ³¹	1/5/95	Max Increase \$18,100,000
442071	Five Area Tel. Coop. ³²	6/30/95	USF Limit \$1,102,682
442046	Cap Rock Tel. Coop. ³³	7/10/95	USF Limit \$364,881
442141	Santa Rosa Tel. Coop. ³⁴	8/7/95	Max Increase \$0
442041	Brazos Tel. Coop. ³⁵	8/8/95	Max Increase \$0

-
- 25 See U S WEST Communications, Inc. and Wiggins Telephone Association, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 8 FCC Rcd 6229, 6230 (1993).
- 26 See Nevada Bell and Oregon-Idaho Utilities, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd 5236, 5238 (1994).
- 27 See GTE Midwest Incorporated and Winnebago Cooperative Telephone Association, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd 7789, 7791 (1994).
- 28 See GTE Southwest Incorporated and Pioneer Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd 7785, 7787 (1994).
- 29 See United Utilities, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 9 FCC Rcd 7793, 7794 (1994).
- 30 See Citizens Utilities Company, GTE Northwest Incorporated and GTE California Incorporated, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 47, 49 (1994).
- 31 See U S WEST Communications, Inc., and Eagle Telecommunications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 1771, 1775 (1995).
- 32 See GTE Southwest Incorporated, Five Area Telephone Cooperative, Inc. and West Plains Telecommunications, L.L.C., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 13246, 13249 (1994).
- 33 See GTE Southwest Incorporated and Cap Rock Telephone Company, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 7602, 7604 (1994).
- 34 See GTE Southwest Incorporated and Santa Rosa Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 3245, 3249 (1995).

Table 3.4
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Applied To 2000 Expense Adjustment Payments

532361	Tel. Util. of Eastern Oregon ³⁶	8/11/95	USF Limit	\$11,518,848
522408	Tel. Util. of WA ³⁷	8/11/95	USF Limit	\$14,547,292
420472	Cass County Tel. Co. ³⁸	1/17/96	USF Limit	\$1,626,277
421866	Ozark Tel. Co. ³⁹	1/17/96	USF Limit	\$530,480
442046	Cap Rock ⁴⁰	4/2/96	USF Limit	\$480,112
442052	Central Texas ⁴¹	4/2/96	USF Limit	\$2,044,066
442076	Ganado Tel. ⁴²	4/2/96	USF Limit	\$632,666
442083	Guadalupe Valley Tel. ⁴³	4/2/96	USF Limit	\$2,219,416
442090	Alenco Comm. ⁴⁴	4/2/96	USF Limit	\$880,041
442112	Mid-Plains Rural Tel. Coop. ⁴⁵	4/2/96	USF Limit	\$689,559
442130	Peoples Tel. Coop. ⁴⁶	4/2/96	USF Limit	\$1,031,005
381447	North Dakota Tel. ⁴⁷	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$303,861

35 See GTE Southwest Incorporated, Brazos Telecommunications, Inc. and Brazos Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 5536, 5541 (1995).

36 See U S WEST Communications, Inc., Pacific Telecom, Inc. and Telephone Utilities of Eastern Oregon, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9996, 9998 (1995).

37 See U S WEST Communications, Inc., Pacific Telecom, Inc. and Telephone Utilities of Washington, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 10570, 10572 (1995).

38 See BPS Telephone Company, Cass County Telephone Company, GTE Midwest Incorporated, and Ozark Telephone Company, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 1815, 1818 (1996).

39 Id.

40 See Alenco Communications, Inc., Cap Rock Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Central Texas Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Contel of Texas, Inc., Ganado Telephone Co., Inc., GTE Southwest Incorporated, Guadalupe Valley Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Mid-Plains Rural Telephone Cooperative, Inc. and Peoples Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 11477, 11482 (1996).

41 Id.

42 Id.

43 Id.

44 Id.

45 Id.

46 Id.

Table 3.4
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Applied To 2000 Expense Adjustment Payments

381611	Dickey Rural Tel. ⁴⁸	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$350,780
381614	Gilby Tel. Co. ⁴⁹	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$63,716
381615	Griggs County Tel. ⁵⁰	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$0
381622	Moore & Liberty Tel. ⁵¹	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$2,979
381625	Northwest Comm. Coop. ⁵²	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$171,807
381631	Red River Rural Tel. ⁵³	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$84,313
381636	United Tel. Mutual Aid Coop. ⁵⁴	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$0
381638	York Tel. ⁵⁵	4/4/96	USF Limit	\$0
391640	Armour Independent Tel. ⁵⁶	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0

47 See BEK Communications I, Inc., CTC Communications, Inc.; Dakota Central Telecom I, Inc.; Dickey Rural Communications, Inc.; Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative, Gilby Telephone Company, Griggs County Telephone Company, Inter-Community Telephone Company II, Inc., Moore & Liberty Telephone Company, North Dakota Telephone Company, Northwest Communications Cooperative, Red River Telecom, Inc., RTC II, Inc., Turtle Mountain Communications, Inc., U S West Communications, Inc., United Telephone Mutual Aid Cooperative, West River Communications, Inc. and York Telephone Company , Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 10855, 10865 (1996).

48 Id.

49 Id.

50 Id.

51 Id.

52 Id.

53 Id.

54 Id.

55 Id.

56 See Accent Communications, Inc., Armour Independent Telephone Company, Bridgewater-Canistota Independent Telephone Company, Dakota Cooperative Telecommunications, Inc., Dakota Telecommunications Systems, Inc., Fort Randall Telephone Company, Golden West Telephone Properties, Inc., G.W.S., Inc., Hanson Communications, Inc., Hanson Communications, Inc. d/b/a McCook Telecom, Hanson County Telephone, Inc., Heartland Communications, Inc., Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc., Intrastate Telephone Company, Inc., James Valley Cooperative Telephone Company, Kennebec Telephone Company, Inc., McCook Cooperative Telephone Company, Midstate Telephone Company, Mobridge Telecommunications Company; RC Communications, Inc.; Roberts County

(cont.)

Table 3.4
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Applied To 2000 Expense Adjustment Payments

391643	Golden West Comm. ⁵⁷	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0
391654	Interstate Telecomm. Coop. ⁵⁸	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0
391657	Splitrock Telecomm. Coop. ⁵⁹	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0
391660	Mt. Rushmore Tel. ⁶⁰	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0
391661	Hanson County Tel. ⁶¹	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0
391664	James Valley Tel. Coop. ⁶²	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$178,020
391668	Kennebec Tel. ⁶³	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$178,410
391670	Midstate Tel. ⁶⁴	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$60,558
391671	Mobridge Telcomm. ⁶⁵	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$0
391674	Roberts County Tel. Coop. ⁶⁶	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$104,190
391688	Western Tel. ⁶⁷	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$31,765
391689	West River Coop. Tel. ⁶⁸	4/11/96	USF Limit	\$265,007
421931	Northeast Missouri Rural Tel. ⁶⁹	4/22/96	USF Limit	\$367,769

Telephone Cooperative Association; Sanborn Telephone Cooperative, Sancom, Inc., Splitrock Properties, Inc., Splitrock Telecom Cooperative, Inc., State Line Telecommunications, Inc., Stockholm-Strandburg Telephone Co., Sully Buttes Telephone Cooperative, Inc., U S West Communications, Inc., Valley Cable & Satellite Communications, Inc., Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Association, Inc., Venture Communications, Inc., West River Cooperative Telephone Company, West River Telecommunications Cooperative and Western Telephone Company, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 11513, 11528 (1996).

- 57 Id.
- 58 Id.
- 59 Id.
- 60 Id.
- 61 Id.
- 62 Id.
- 63 Id.
- 64 Id.
- 65 Id.
- 66 Id.
- 67 Id.
- 68 Id.

Table 3.4
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Applied To 2000 Expense Adjustment Payments

147332	Vermont Tel. ⁷⁰	6/14/96	USF Limit	\$1,045,558
411835	Sunflower Tel. ⁷¹	6/14/96	USF Limit	\$1,286,392
502283	Skyline Tel. ⁷²	6/14/96	USF Limit	\$0
492272	Roosevelt County Rural Coop. ⁷³	7/11/96	USF Limit	\$857,130
411875	J.B.N. Tel. ⁷⁴	7/17/96	USF Limit	\$1,184,180
472231	Project Mutual ⁷⁵	8/12/96	USF Limit	\$0
411827	S & T Tel. Coop. ⁷⁶	8/30/96	USF Limit	\$2,842,836
411777	Golden Belt Tel. Assn. ⁷⁷	10/25/96	USF Limit	\$260,426

69 See GTE Midwest Incorporated, Modern Telecommunications Company and Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 11553, 11562 (1996).

70 See Champlain Valley Telecom, Inc., Northland Telephone Company of Vermont, and Vermont Telephone Company, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 7111, 7119 (1996).

71 See Bluestem Telephone Company, MJD Communications, Inc., and United Telephone Company of Eastern Kansas, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 7130 (1996). Sunflower and Bluestem merged into one study area. Sunflower Telephone is referenced in this waiver order.

72 See Skyline Telecom., South Central Telephone Association, Inc., and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 7097, 7101 (1996).

73 See Leaco Rural Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Roosevelt County Rural Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Tularosa Basin Telephone Company, Inc., and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 8066, 8072 (1996).

74 See J.B.N. Telephone Company, Inc. and United Telephone Company of Eastern Kansas, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 8619, 8623 (1996).

75 See Farmers Mutual Telephone Company, Project Mutual Telephone Cooperative Association, Inc., and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 9380, (1996).

76 See Golden Belt Telephone Association, Inc., S&T Communications of Dighton, Inc., S&T Telephone Cooperative Association, Inc., United Telephone Company of Southcentral Kansas, and United Telephone Company of Kansas, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 10165, 10170 (1996).

77 See Golden Belt Telephone Association, Inc., S&T Communications of Dighton, Inc., S&T Telephone Cooperative Association, Inc., United Telephone Company of Southcentral Kansas, and United Telephone Company

(cont.)

Table 3.4
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Applied To 2000 Expense Adjustment Payments

452169	San Carlos Apache Telecom. Util. ⁷⁸	11/8/96	USF Limit	\$1,079,602
452191	Accipiter Comm. ⁷⁹	11/14/96	USF Limit	\$604,383
371516	Arapahoe Tel. Co. ⁸⁰	11/15/96	USF Limit	\$155,517
371574	Nebraska Central Tel. Co. ⁸¹	11/15/96	USF Limit	\$206,064
371577	Great Plains Comm., Inc. ⁸²	11/15/96	USF Limit	\$1,230,848
522418	Pend Oreille Tel. Co. ⁸³	1/10/97	USF Limit	\$808,463
100003	Lincolntonville Tel. Co. ⁸⁴	2/3/97	USF Limit	\$549,442
100025	Standish Tel. Co. ⁸⁵	2/3/97	USF Limit	\$1,222,475
103313	Northland Tel. Co. of Maine ⁸⁶	2/3/97	USF Limit	\$1,692,050
103315	Mid Maine Telecom., Inc. ⁸⁷	2/3/97	USF Limit	\$543,639
351106	Alpine Comm. ⁸⁸	2/14/97	USF Limit	\$224,391

of Kansas, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 14409, ¶13, n. 26 (1996).

78 See San Carlos Apache Telecommunications Utility, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 14591, ¶ 14, n. 28 (1996).

79 See Accipiter Communications, Inc. and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 14962, 14967 (1996).

80 See Arapahoe Telephone Company, Great Plains Communications, Inc., Nebraska Central Telephone Company, Northeast Nebraska Telephone Company, and U S WEST Communications, Inc., AAD 96-59, DA 96-1894, Memorandum Opinion and Order (rel. Nov. 15, 1996).

81 Id.

82 Id.

83 See Pend Oreille Telephone Company, Inc. and GTE Northwest, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 63, ¶¶ 9,12 and n. 21 (1997).

84 See Bryant Pond Telephone Company, Lincolntonville Telephone Company, Maine Telephone Company, Mid-Maine Telecom, Inc., Northland Telephone Company of Maine, Inc., Oxford West Telephone Company, Sidney Telephone Company, Standish Telephone Company, and Tidewater Telecom, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 1479, ¶¶ 11, 17 (1997).

85 Id.

86 Id.

87 Id.

88 See Alpine Communications, L.C., Butler-Bremer Mutual Telephone Company, Clarksville Telephone Company, Dumont Telephone Company, Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation, Heartland Telecommunications Company of Iowa, Hickory Tech Corporation, South Central

(cont.)

Table 3.4
Study Areas Waiver Limitations Applied To 2000 Expense Adjustment Payments

351888	Grand River Mutual Tel. Co. ⁸⁹	2/14/97	USF Limit	\$0
472295	Silver Star Tel. Co., Inc. - ID ⁹⁰	3/12/97	USF Limit	\$1,338,773

Communications, Inc., Universal Communications, Inc., and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 2367, ¶ 12, n. 32 (1997).

89 Id.

90 See Columbine Telephone Company, Inc., Silver Star Telephone Company, Inc., and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 3622, ¶ 14 (1997).

TABLE 3.5

NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCIATION, INC.
SUMMARY OF COMMON LINE POOL RESULTS

Line Item (Note 1)	Pool Year (Note 2)		Percentage Change (Note 3)
	1998	1999	
Carrier Common Line (CCL) Earned Revenues			
Premium	289,781,794	342,386,900	18.15%
Non-Premium	1,164,668	687,683	-40.95%
Special Access Surcharge	577,643	600,914	4.03%
CCL Net Realized Uncollectibles	43,816	36,888	-15.81%
CCL Net Earned Revenue	291,480,289	343,638,609	17.89%
End User Net Earned Revenue (Note 4)	492,650,517	527,554,053	7.08%
Total Common Line Net Earned Revenues	784,130,806	871,192,662	11.10%
Long Term Support	472,564,542	472,774,206	0.04%
Total Common Line Revenues	1,256,695,348	1,343,966,868	6.94%
NECA Administrative Costs	20,958,188	21,174,330	1.03%
Average Schedule Company Settlements	257,671,676	266,331,000	3.36%
Common Line Expenses and other Taxes	669,720,791	743,963,990	11.09%
Common Line Adjusted Federal Income Tax	75,965,504	65,857,983	-13.31%
Total Common Line Expenses	1,024,316,159	1,097,327,303	7.13%
Common Line Residue for Distribution (Note 5)	232,379,189	246,639,565	6.14%
Common Line Average Net Investment	1,888,151,194	1,945,221,547	3.02%
Common Line Residue Ratio (Note 6)	12.31%	12.68%	3.02%

Note 1: All of the individual line items include some estimates and are subject to further adjustments under current NECA procedures.

Note 2: The Pool Year is the calendar year. The 1998 Pool Year data is reported as of February 28, 1999. The 1999 Pool Year data is reported as of February 29, 2000.

Note 3: Year to year changes are affected by changes in the number of companies participating in NECA tariffs, sales and acquisitions of assets by participating companies, average schedule to cost conversions, and mid-year tariff changes in rate levels.

Note 4: Amount includes End User SLC Waiver Revenue for NECA Tariff participants.

Note 5: Residue for Distribution is Total Revenues less Total Expenses.

Note 6: Residue Ratio is calculated by dividing the amount of Residue for Distribution by the amount of Average Net Investment and multiplying by 100.

TABLE 3.6

NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCIATION, INC.
SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC SENSITIVE POOL RESULTS

Line Item (Note 1)	Pool Year (Note 2)		Percentage Change (Note 3)
	1998	1999	
Traffic Sensitive Earned Revenue (Note 6)	670,033,859	664,721,851	-0.79%
Local Switching Support (Note 6)	295,469,997	278,294,099	-5.81%
Traffic Sensitive Net Realized Uncollectibles	131,731	98,489	-25.23%
Traffic Sensitive Net Earned Revenue	965,372,125	942,917,461	-2.33%
Total Traffic Sensitive Revenues	965,372,125	942,917,461	-2.33%
NECA Administrative Costs	15,448,417	15,713,016	1.71%
Average Schedule Company Settlements	407,032,066	369,582,395	-9.20%
Traffic Sensitive Expenses & Other Taxes	375,207,475	407,479,535	8.60%
Traffic Sensitive Adjusted Federal Income Tax	34,771,047	30,555,428	-12.12%
Total Traffic Sensitive Expenses	832,459,005	823,330,374	-1.10%
Traffic Sensitive Residue For Distribution (Note 4)	132,913,120	119,587,087	-10.03%
Traffic Sensitive Average Net Investment	874,544,818	905,415,933	3.53%
Traffic Sensitive Residue Ratio (Note 5)	15.20%	13.21%	-13.09%

Note 1: All of the individual line items include some estimates and are subject to further adjustments under current NECA procedures.

Note 2: The Pool Year is the calendar year. The 1998 Pool Year data is reported as of February 28, 1999. The 1999 Pool Year data is reported as of February 29, 2000.

Note 3: Year to year changes are affected by changes in the number of companies participating in NECA tariffs, sales and acquisitions of assets by participating companies, average schedule to cost conversions, and mid-year tariff changes in rate levels.

Note 4: Residue for Distribution is Total Revenues less Total Expenses.

Note 5: Residue Ratio is calculated by dividing the amount of Residue for Distribution by the amount of Average Net Investment and multiplying by 100.

Table 3.7

Long Term Support Payment History

Payment Year	Payments (\$ Millions)	Annual Growth In Payments
1989	\$235.7	
1990	\$262.6	11.40%
1991	\$271.7	3.49%
1992	\$305.7	12.51%
1993	\$322.7	5.53%
1994	\$346.6	7.44%
1995	\$382.3	10.27%
1996	\$425.6	11.35%
1997	\$469.5	10.31%
1998	\$476.3	1.45%
1999	\$473.2	-0.66%
2000	\$479.1	1.26%

Notes: Payments for 1989 through 1992 and 1994 through 1997 are as reported by NECA as of the end of February of the following year.

Payments for 1989 are for nine months only (program began April 1).

Payments for 1993 are as subsequently revised by NECA.

Payments for 1998 and 1999 are latest revisions provided by USAC.

Payments for 2000 are projections provided by USAC.

Table 3.8

Local Switching Support Payment History

Payment Year	Payments (\$ Millions)	Annual Growth In Payments
1993	\$311.0	
1994	\$303.9	-2.28%
1995	\$325.3	7.04%
1996	\$348.0	6.98%
1997	\$350.6	0.75%
1998	\$387.3	10.46%
1999	\$382.9	-1.13%
2000	\$390.8	2.08%

Notes: Payments for 1993 - 1997 are estimates of DEM weighting impacts.

Payments for 1993 are as estimated in the May 1996 Monitoring Report in CC Docket No. 87-339.

For companies not in NECA's common line and traffic sensitive pools, an estimate was developed using the study area specific 4th quarter 1998 Local Switching Support amounts. The 1998 levels were reduced by 5% per year to determine the 1994 - 1997 amounts.

Payments for 1998 and 1999 are latest revisions provided by USAC.

Payments for 2000 are projections provided by USAC.

Table 3.9

High-Cost Programs Fund Size Projections and Prior Year Actuals
(in Millions of Dollars)

Programs	Total 1998	Total 1999	Total 2000	1st Quarter 2000	2nd Quarter 2000	3rd Quarter 2000	4th Quarter 2000
High Cost Loop Support	827.3	864.1	897.8	223.6	224.0	224.6	225.7
Long Term Support	476.3	473.2	479.1	119.8	119.7	119.8	119.9
Local Switching Support	387.3	382.9	390.0	97.3	97.3	97.6	97.7
Incremental Support under the Forward-Looking Mechanism	-	-	199.4	57.5	46.7	43.9	51.4
Interstate Access Support	-	-	325.0	-	-	162.5	162.5
Prior Period True-ups	-	-	-15.1	-3.3	6.6	-21.6	3.2
Administrative Expenses	4.8	7.1	5.1	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.4
Interest Income	-5.1	-2.7	-3.2	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-1.4
Total	1690.6	1724.7	2278.1	495.2	495.0	627.4	660.4

Note: Details may not appear to add to totals due to rounding

Table 3.10
Total Projected High Cost Support Payments for 2000 by State

State or Jurisdiction	USF High Cost Loop Support	Long Term Support	Local Switching Support	Total High Cost Support
ALABAMA	\$22,450,089	\$7,334,976	\$6,553,500	\$36,338,565
ALASKA	\$38,841,252	\$16,954,464	\$15,444,060	\$71,239,776
AMERICAN SAMOA	\$0	\$258,360	\$332,736	\$591,096
ARIZONA	\$19,901,646	\$3,111,216	\$10,326,636	\$33,339,498
ARKANSAS	\$46,308,642	\$15,393,852	\$7,767,324	\$69,469,818
CALIFORNIA	\$28,553,226	\$13,271,160	\$7,454,040	\$49,278,426
COLORADO	\$28,096,014	\$12,112,248	\$4,030,632	\$44,238,894
CONNECTICUT	\$0	\$162,960	\$722,796	\$885,756
DELAWARE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FLORIDA	\$10,582,068	\$5,321,388	\$3,755,964	\$19,659,420
GEORGIA	\$42,690,783	\$17,862,864	\$12,907,368	\$73,461,015
GUAM	\$1,319,388	\$1,946,412	\$0	\$3,265,800
HAWAII	\$378,648	\$158,700	\$785,712	\$1,323,060
IDAHO	\$18,866,496	\$3,459,084	\$6,609,252	\$28,934,832
ILLINOIS	\$6,703,692	\$6,212,292	\$11,883,972	\$24,799,956
INDIANA	\$4,920,684	\$5,134,140	\$9,039,756	\$19,094,580
IOWA	\$4,380,924	\$7,237,440	\$15,022,572	\$26,640,936
KANSAS	\$38,856,396	\$11,451,864	\$13,598,664	\$63,906,924
KENTUCKY	\$9,725,148	\$4,899,432	\$4,965,276	\$19,589,856
LOUISIANA	\$43,967,160	\$16,729,284	\$6,710,328	\$67,406,772
MAINE	\$6,038,088	\$6,008,532	\$7,473,528	\$19,520,148
MARYLAND	\$0	\$91,332	\$460,944	\$552,276
MASSACHUSETTS	\$21,444	\$102,228	\$470,220	\$593,892
MICHIGAN	\$21,790,575	\$9,821,136	\$7,869,024	\$39,480,735
MINNESOTA	\$16,597,788	\$12,154,464	\$17,304,876	\$46,057,128
MISSISSIPPI	\$21,248,580	\$5,075,832	\$3,802,020	\$30,126,432
MISSOURI	\$39,819,414	\$10,660,200	\$8,184,984	\$58,664,598
MONTANA	\$25,776,324	\$10,009,296	\$9,331,704	\$45,117,324
NEBRASKA	\$8,071,248	\$3,861,012	\$11,089,044	\$23,021,304
NEVADA	\$4,419,954	\$916,380	\$6,354,036	\$11,690,370
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$1,146,768	\$1,512,012	\$4,892,580	\$7,551,360
NEW JERSEY	\$0	\$0	\$970,056	\$970,056
NEW MEXICO	\$18,575,949	\$6,159,972	\$9,122,412	\$33,858,333
NEW YORK	\$14,991,570	\$6,806,316	\$18,517,740	\$40,315,626
NORTH CAROLINA	\$10,576,887	\$12,053,268	\$5,934,828	\$28,564,983
NORTH DAKOTA	\$7,991,145	\$5,982,072	\$10,478,196	\$24,451,413
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	\$2,456,736	\$0	\$727,284	\$3,184,020
OHIO	\$5,822,097	\$5,213,316	\$4,654,416	\$15,689,829
OKLAHOMA	\$32,956,974	\$16,412,304	\$13,178,088	\$62,547,366
OREGON	\$22,444,113	\$9,253,548	\$7,365,696	\$39,063,357
PENNSYLVANIA	\$1,094,916	\$14,136,852	\$7,010,208	\$22,241,976
PUERTO RICO	\$51,969,894	\$91,621,539	\$0	\$143,591,433
RHODE ISLAND	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$20,181,882	\$11,098,404	\$10,728,084	\$42,008,370
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$5,946,252	\$5,040,072	\$9,934,260	\$20,920,584
TENNESSEE	\$11,913,090	\$10,393,116	\$7,549,956	\$29,856,162
TEXAS	\$69,832,119	\$29,650,692	\$18,153,096	\$117,635,907
UTAH	\$3,907,836	\$1,488,012	\$5,367,252	\$10,763,100
VERMONT	\$3,728,442	\$2,388,756	\$4,835,772	\$10,952,970
VIRGIN ISLANDS	\$16,946,640	\$7,206,216	\$0	\$24,152,856
VIRGINIA	\$4,368,888	\$3,342,300	\$4,096,092	\$11,807,280
WASHINGTON	\$23,499,126	\$13,267,908	\$5,845,440	\$42,612,474
WEST VIRGINIA	\$18,559,623	\$1,061,352	\$3,533,544	\$23,154,519
WISCONSIN	\$17,633,034	\$12,832,080	\$22,103,028	\$52,568,142
WYOMING	\$16,673,574	\$4,500,960	\$5,583,684	\$26,758,218
INDUSTRY	\$893,543,226	\$479,133,615	\$390,832,680	\$1,763,509,521

The values in this table do not take into account the new non-rural support mechanisms.

Table 3.11
Projected High Cost Support Using the New Non-Rural Support Mechanisms

STATE OR JURISDICTION	NON-RURAL COMPANIES			RURAL Projected Support Amount	TOTAL Projected High Cost Support
	New High Cost Model Support	Hold-Harmless Support	Total Projected Support		
ALABAMA	51,743,655	-	51,743,655	27,076,944	78,820,599
ALASKA	-	-	-	71,239,776	71,239,776
AMERICAN SAMOA	-	-	-	591,096	591,096
ARIZONA	-	-	-	33,339,498	33,339,498
ARKANSAS	-	3,100,323	3,100,323	66,369,495	69,469,818
CALIFORNIA	-	6,175,854	6,175,854	43,102,572	49,278,426
COLORADO	-	1,264,752	1,264,752	42,974,142	44,238,894
CONNECTICUT	-	-	-	885,756	885,756
DELAWARE	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	-	-	-	19,659,420	19,659,420
GEORGIA	-	-	-	73,461,015	73,461,015
GUAM	-	-	-	3,265,800	3,265,800
HAWAII	-	-	-	1,323,060	1,323,060
IDAHO	-	-	-	28,934,832	28,934,832
ILLINOIS	-	-	-	24,799,956	24,799,956
INDIANA	-	121,674	121,674	18,972,906	19,094,580
IOWA	-	-	-	26,640,936	26,640,936
KANSAS	-	-	-	63,906,924	63,906,924
KENTUCKY	1,212,109	769,140	1,981,249	18,591,753	20,573,002
LOUISIANA	-	-	-	67,406,772	67,406,772
MAINE	10,775,773	-	10,775,773	19,520,148	30,295,921
MARYLAND	-	-	-	552,276	552,276
MASSACHUSETTS	-	-	-	593,892	593,892
MICHIGAN	-	495,153	495,153	38,985,582	39,480,735
MINNESOTA	-	-	-	46,057,128	46,057,128
MISSISSIPPI	103,707,452	-	103,707,452	23,385,303	127,092,755
MISSOURI	-	10,446,939	10,446,939	48,217,659	58,664,598
MONTANA	1,541,525	-	1,541,525	44,582,064	46,123,589
NEBRASKA	-	-	-	23,021,304	23,021,304
NEVADA	-	-	-	11,690,370	11,690,370
NEW HAMPSHIRE	-	-	-	7,551,360	7,551,360
NEW JERSEY	-	-	-	970,056	970,056
NEW MEXICO	-	2,340,885	2,340,885	31,517,448	33,858,333
NEW YORK	-	-	-	40,315,626	40,315,626
NORTH CAROLINA	-	4,007,043	4,007,043	24,557,940	28,564,983
NORTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	24,451,413	24,451,413
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	-	-	-	3,184,020	3,184,020
OHIO	-	-	-	15,689,829	15,689,829
OKLAHOMA	-	770,766	770,766	61,776,600	62,547,366
OREGON	-	-	-	39,063,357	39,063,357
PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	22,241,976	22,241,976
PUERTO RICO	-	143,591,433	143,591,433	-	143,591,433
RHODE ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	-	4,349,010	4,349,010	37,659,360	42,008,370
SOUTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	20,920,584	20,920,584
TENNESSEE	-	-	-	29,856,162	29,856,162
TEXAS	-	1,436,400	1,436,400	116,199,507	117,635,907
UTAH	-	-	-	10,763,100	10,763,100
VERMONT	15,103,587	-	15,103,587	10,621,179	25,724,766
VIRGIN ISLANDS	-	-	-	24,152,856	24,152,856
VIRGINIA	-	1,457,808	1,457,808	10,349,472	11,807,280
WASHINGTON	-	-	-	42,612,474	42,612,474
WEST VIRGINIA	31,234,865	-	31,234,865	22,134,636	53,369,501
WISCONSIN	-	-	-	52,568,142	52,568,142
WYOMING	3,612,180	1,362,354	4,974,534	22,451,988	27,426,522
TOTAL INDUSTRY	218,931,146	181,689,534	400,620,680	1,560,757,464	1,961,378,144

Table 3.12
High Cost Loop Payment Projections By Study Area

Study Area ID Code	Study Area Name	1998 Total	1999 Total	2000 Total	2000 1st Quarter	2000 2nd Quarter	2000 3rd Quarter	2000 4th Quarter
TOTAL	ALABAMA	\$21,947,616	\$21,762,696	\$22,450,089	\$5,149,662	\$5,496,807	\$5,901,810	\$5,901,810
250281	CONTEL AL DBA GTE AL	\$3,176,162	\$4,156,152	\$3,132,975	\$440,136	\$793,095	\$949,872	\$949,872
250282	BLOUNTSVILLE TEL CO	\$576,591	\$507,840	\$588,048	\$147,012	\$147,012	\$147,012	\$147,012
250283	BRINDLEE MOUNTAIN	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
250284	BUTLER TEL CO	\$1,213,000	\$1,267,074	\$593,484	\$148,371	\$148,371	\$148,371	\$148,371
250285	CASTLEBERRY TEL CO	\$2,826	\$8,880	\$10,956	\$2,739	\$2,739	\$2,739	\$2,739
250286	NATIONAL OF ALABAMA	\$10,140	\$36,240	\$42,996	\$10,749	\$10,749	\$10,749	\$10,749
250290	FARMERS TEL COOP -AL	\$265,305	\$597,840	\$981,780	\$245,445	\$245,445	\$245,445	\$245,445
250293	GTE SOUTH INC. - AL	\$8,918,950	\$6,818,904	\$6,128,646	\$1,667,844	\$1,662,030	\$1,399,386	\$1,399,386
250295	GRACEBA TOTAL COMM	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
250298	GULF TEL CO - AL	\$0	\$0	\$1,761,768	\$185,007	\$185,007	\$695,877	\$695,877
250299	HAYNEVILLE TEL CO	\$177,695	\$317,172	\$317,436	\$79,359	\$79,359	\$79,359	\$79,359
250300	HOPPER TEL CO INC	\$851,931	\$906,948	\$911,772	\$227,943	\$227,943	\$227,943	\$227,943
250301	FRONTIER-LAMAR CNTY	\$2,718	\$6,276	\$12,312	\$3,078	\$3,078	\$3,078	\$3,078
250302	ALLTEL ALABAMA	\$2,404,691	\$2,502,966	\$2,549,580	\$637,395	\$637,395	\$637,395	\$637,395
250304	MILLRY TEL CO	\$1,036,228	\$1,109,328	\$1,124,496	\$281,124	\$281,124	\$281,124	\$281,124
250305	MON-CRE TEL COOP	\$297,560	\$331,944	\$299,016	\$74,754	\$74,754	\$74,754	\$74,754
250306	FRONTIER COMM. -AL	\$128,168	\$94,068	\$226,704	\$56,676	\$56,676	\$56,676	\$56,676
250307	MOUNDEVILLE TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
250308	NEW HOPE TEL COOP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
250311	OAKMAN TEL CO (TDS)	\$20,021	\$51,600	\$59,412	\$14,853	\$14,853	\$14,853	\$14,853
250312	OTELCO TELEPHONE LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
250314	PEOPLES TEL CO	\$1,458,604	\$1,968,144	\$2,044,572	\$511,143	\$511,143	\$511,143	\$511,143
250315	PINE BELT TEL CO	\$535,095	\$442,248	\$427,332	\$106,833	\$106,833	\$106,833	\$106,833
250316	RAGLAND TEL CO	\$330,570	\$294,840	\$397,092	\$99,273	\$99,273	\$99,273	\$99,273
250317	ROANOKE TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
250318	FRONTIER COMM-SOUTH	\$535,505	\$335,928	\$815,412	\$203,853	\$203,853	\$203,853	\$203,853
250322	UNION SPRINGS TEL CO	\$5,856	\$8,304	\$24,300	\$6,075	\$6,075	\$6,075	\$6,075
255181	SO CENTRAL BELL-AL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	ALASKA	\$31,963,777	\$36,946,791	\$38,841,252	\$9,710,313	\$9,710,313	\$9,710,313	\$9,710,313
613000	ALASKA COMM. SYS-ATU	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
613001	ARCTIC SLOPE TEL	\$526,877	\$497,364	\$609,132	\$152,283	\$152,283	\$152,283	\$152,283
613002	BETTLES TEL CO INC	\$33,420	\$60,408	\$53,280	\$13,320	\$13,320	\$13,320	\$13,320
613003	BRISTOL BAY TEL COOP	\$281,913	\$355,584	\$340,404	\$85,101	\$85,101	\$85,101	\$85,101
613004	BUSH-TELL INC	\$210,457	\$226,224	\$202,680	\$50,670	\$50,670	\$50,670	\$50,670
613005	CIRCLE UTILITIES	\$11,851	\$9,012	\$9,012	\$2,253	\$2,253	\$2,253	\$2,253
613006	COPPER VALLEY TEL	\$1,680,532	\$2,409,384	\$2,780,424	\$695,106	\$695,106	\$695,106	\$695,106
613007	CORDOVA TEL COOP	\$150,263	\$235,488	\$257,856	\$64,464	\$64,464	\$64,464	\$64,464
613008	PTI COMM. -ALASKA	\$1,328,851	\$1,502,082	\$1,960,908	\$490,227	\$490,227	\$490,227	\$490,227
613009	GTE ALASKA INC.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
613010	GLACIER STATE TEL CO	\$10,862,513	\$11,425,488	\$10,945,608	\$2,736,402	\$2,736,402	\$2,736,402	\$2,736,402
613011	INTERIOR TEL CO INC	\$1,191,526	\$1,852,179	\$2,119,860	\$529,965	\$529,965	\$529,965	\$529,965
613012	JUNEAU & DOUGLAS TEL	\$36,696	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
613013	KETCHIKAN PUBLIC UT	\$262,486	\$332,136	\$937,128	\$234,282	\$234,282	\$234,282	\$234,282
613015	MATANUSKA TEL ASSOC	\$10,740,141	\$12,365,256	\$13,174,032	\$3,293,508	\$3,293,508	\$3,293,508	\$3,293,508
613016	MUKLUK TEL CO INC	\$398,167	\$585,545	\$676,392	\$169,098	\$169,098	\$169,098	\$169,098
613017	ALASKA TEL CO	\$278,896	\$137,772	\$157,020	\$39,255	\$39,255	\$39,255	\$39,255
613018	NUSHAGAK TEL COOP	\$359,345	\$366,504	\$367,104	\$91,776	\$91,776	\$91,776	\$91,776
613019	OTZ TEL COOPERATIVE	\$389,932	\$612,180	\$727,776	\$181,944	\$181,944	\$181,944	\$181,944
613020	SITKA TELEPHONE CO	\$1,293,965	\$2,016,525	\$1,671,696	\$417,924	\$417,924	\$417,924	\$417,924
613022	TEL UTIL OF ALASKA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
613023	UNITED UTILITIES INC	\$1,595,208	\$1,642,752	\$1,490,076	\$372,519	\$372,519	\$372,519	\$372,519
613025	YUKON TEL CO INC	\$112,267	\$129,276	\$104,376	\$26,094	\$26,094	\$26,094	\$26,094
613026	NORTH COUNTRY TEL CO	\$24,023	\$14,724	\$15,012	\$3,753	\$3,753	\$3,753	\$3,753
613028	SUMMIT TEL & TEL -AK	\$194,447	\$169,908	\$241,476	\$60,369	\$60,369	\$60,369	\$60,369
TOTAL	AMERICAN SAMOA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
673900	AMERICAN SAMOA TELECOMM	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	ARIZONA	\$19,492,163	\$18,633,267	\$19,901,646	\$4,835,160	\$4,912,794	\$5,076,846	\$5,076,846
452169	SAN CARLOS APACHE	\$6,609	\$316,194	\$748,794	\$84,795	\$130,491	\$266,754	\$266,754
452171	ARIZONA TELEPHONE CO	\$549,113	\$729,588	\$669,780	\$167,445	\$167,445	\$167,445	\$167,445
452172	CITIZENS UTILITIES	\$5,793,221	\$5,164,248	\$4,640,964	\$1,160,241	\$1,160,241	\$1,160,241	\$1,160,241
452173	TOHONO O'ODHAM UTIL	\$274,153	\$237,576	\$601,668	\$150,417	\$150,417	\$150,417	\$150,417
452174	SOUTHWESTERN TEL CO	\$205,989	\$318,084	\$369,777	\$61,494	\$91,077	\$108,603	\$108,603
452175	CENTURYTEL SW-AZ	\$182,258	\$181,176	\$218,532	\$54,633	\$54,633	\$54,633	\$54,633
452176	VALLEY TEL COOP-AZ	\$1,153,068	\$1,007,964	\$1,718,064	\$429,516	\$429,516	\$429,516	\$429,516
452179	GILA RIVER TELECOM.	\$965,481	\$1,334,739	\$1,255,068	\$313,767	\$313,767	\$313,767	\$313,767
452191	ACCIPITER COMM	\$128,635	\$231,096	\$436,719	\$102,282	\$104,637	\$114,900	\$114,900
452200	FORT MOJAVE TEL. INC	\$289,942	\$305,574	\$268,452	\$67,113	\$67,113	\$67,113	\$67,113
452226	MIDVALE-AZ	\$165,276	\$122,700	\$328,140	\$82,035	\$82,035	\$82,035	\$82,035

Table 3.12
High Cost Loop Payment Projections By Study Area

Study Area ID Code	Study Area Name	1998 Total	1999 Total	2000 Total	2000 1st Quarter	2000 2nd Quarter	2000 3rd Quarter	2000 4th Quarter
ARIZONA (CONT.)								
452302	CONTEL CALIF - AZ	\$562,827	\$81,660	\$407,028	\$101,757	\$101,757	\$101,757	\$101,757
453334	TABLE TOP TEL CO	\$302,190	\$219,288	\$629,028	\$157,257	\$157,257	\$157,257	\$157,257
454426	CITIZENS-ARIZONA	\$3,380,909	\$4,504,104	\$4,851,924	\$1,212,981	\$1,212,981	\$1,212,981	\$1,212,981
454449	NAVAJO COMMUN-AZ	\$2,445,450	\$2,212,176	\$2,757,708	\$689,427	\$689,427	\$689,427	\$689,427
455101	U S WEST, INC. - AZ	\$3,087,043	\$1,667,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	ARKANSAS	\$46,089,633	\$51,027,561	\$46,308,642	\$11,363,382	\$11,430,222	\$11,757,519	\$11,757,519
401691	ALLTEL ARKANSAS INC	\$8,582,631	\$9,909,816	\$9,481,116	\$2,370,279	\$2,370,279	\$2,370,279	\$2,370,279
401692	ARKANSAS TEL CO	\$114,721	\$284,808	\$400,320	\$96,663	\$96,663	\$103,497	\$103,497
401697	CENTRAL ARKANSAS TEL	\$242,167	\$236,136	\$281,760	\$70,440	\$70,440	\$70,440	\$70,440
401698	CLEVELAND COUNTY TEL	\$366,437	\$478,497	\$432,144	\$108,036	\$108,036	\$108,036	\$108,036
401699	DECATUR TEL CO INC	\$21,734	\$21,567	\$12,102	\$2,730	\$2,730	\$3,321	\$3,321
401702	SOUTH ARKANSAS TEL	\$203,880	\$413,883	\$415,164	\$103,791	\$103,791	\$103,791	\$103,791
401704	LAVACA TEL CO-AR	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
401705	CENTURYTEL- ARKANSAS	\$5,848,092	\$6,467,724	\$7,014,609	\$1,736,292	\$1,739,121	\$1,769,598	\$1,769,598
401709	MADISON COUNTY TEL	\$255,568	\$335,112	\$287,640	\$71,910	\$71,910	\$71,910	\$71,910
401710	MAGAZINE TEL CO	\$27,317	\$24,660	\$27,636	\$6,909	\$6,909	\$6,909	\$6,909
401711	CENTURYTEL-MTN HOME	\$2,264,176	\$2,619,396	\$3,334,767	\$780,615	\$813,180	\$870,486	\$870,486
401712	MOUNTAIN VIEW TEL CO	\$27,099	\$95,412	\$110,832	\$27,708	\$27,708	\$27,708	\$27,708
401713	NORTH ARKANSAS TEL	\$2,571,436	\$2,626,068	\$2,668,284	\$667,071	\$667,071	\$667,071	\$667,071
401718	PRAIRIE GROVE TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
401720	CENTURYTEL-REDFIELD	\$225,453	\$294,402	\$334,218	\$73,737	\$73,737	\$93,372	\$93,372
401721	RICE BELT TEL CO	\$56,587	\$32,964	\$36,000	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$9,000
401722	E RITTER TEL CO	\$57,600	\$103,668	\$118,236	\$29,559	\$29,559	\$29,559	\$29,559
401724	SW ARKANSAS TEL COOP	\$1,531,904	\$1,448,772	\$1,332,744	\$333,186	\$333,186	\$333,186	\$333,186
401726	TRI-COUNTY TEL CO-AR	\$834,750	\$946,692	\$1,169,268	\$292,317	\$292,317	\$292,317	\$292,317
401727	CENTURYTEL-SOUTH AR	\$634,922	\$796,572	\$635,937	\$156,780	\$157,833	\$160,662	\$160,662
401729	WALNUT HILL TEL CO	\$2,344,126	\$2,246,616	\$2,490,180	\$622,545	\$622,545	\$622,545	\$622,545
401730	CONTEL AR DBA GTE AR	\$5,773,748	\$7,702,236	\$3,197,976	\$799,494	\$799,494	\$799,494	\$799,494
401733	YELCOT TEL CO INC	\$420,512	\$387,816	\$439,008	\$109,752	\$109,752	\$109,752	\$109,752
401734	YELL COUNTY TEL CO	\$369,818	\$509,988	\$641,592	\$160,398	\$160,398	\$160,398	\$160,398
401790	CONTEL KS DBA GTE AR	\$0	\$0	\$213,816	\$53,454	\$53,454	\$53,454	\$53,454
402080	GTE SOUTHWEST INC-AR	\$8,076,149	\$9,194,220	\$8,025,294	\$1,908,501	\$1,908,501	\$2,104,146	\$2,104,146
403031	SCOTT COUNTY TEL CO	\$118,757	\$124,476	\$107,676	\$26,919	\$26,919	\$26,919	\$26,919
405211	SOUTHWESTERN BELL-AR	\$5,120,049	\$3,726,060	\$3,100,323	\$745,296	\$775,689	\$789,669	\$789,669
TOTAL	CALIFORNIA	\$28,886,748	\$30,136,113	\$28,553,226	\$7,136,103	\$7,016,433	\$7,200,345	\$7,200,345
542301	CALAVERAS TEL CO	\$668,409	\$575,100	\$760,896	\$190,224	\$190,224	\$190,224	\$190,224
542302	CONTEL OF CALIFORNIA	\$2,555,488	\$55,140	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
542308	CITIZENS UTIL OF CA	\$6,169,673	\$9,117,492	\$9,062,268	\$2,265,567	\$2,265,567	\$2,265,567	\$2,265,567
542311	CAL-ORE TELEPHONE CO	\$661,725	\$528,732	\$436,104	\$109,026	\$109,026	\$109,026	\$109,026
542313	DUCOR TELEPHONE CO	\$293,352	\$414,816	\$527,640	\$131,910	\$131,910	\$131,910	\$131,910
542315	EVANS TEL CO	\$878,956	\$796,800	\$539,400	\$134,850	\$134,850	\$134,850	\$134,850
542318	FORESTHILL TEL CO	\$500,701	\$436,524	\$497,604	\$124,401	\$124,401	\$124,401	\$124,401
542319	GTC OF CALIFORNIA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
542321	HAPPY VALLEY TEL CO	\$1,089,472	\$1,133,328	\$1,158,699	\$282,900	\$291,933	\$291,933	\$291,933
542322	HORNITOS TEL CO	\$321,357	\$380,436	\$343,236	\$85,809	\$85,809	\$85,809	\$85,809
542323	WINTERHAVEN TEL CO	\$440,994	\$438,993	\$451,809	\$110,448	\$113,787	\$113,787	\$113,787
542324	KERMAN TELEPHONE CO	\$260,326	\$401,964	\$384,360	\$96,090	\$96,090	\$96,090	\$96,090
542332	THE PONDEROSA TEL CO	\$3,162,810	\$3,101,664	\$3,510,864	\$877,716	\$877,716	\$877,716	\$877,716
542334	ROSEVILLE TEL CO	\$1,365,007	\$1,079,436	\$1,491,318	\$379,905	\$247,863	\$431,775	\$431,775
542338	SIERRA TELEPHONE CO	\$2,940,689	\$2,960,748	\$2,560,560	\$640,140	\$640,140	\$640,140	\$640,140
542339	THE SISKIYOU TEL CO	\$1,807,174	\$1,567,020	\$1,666,152	\$416,538	\$416,538	\$416,538	\$416,538
542343	THE VOLCANO TEL CO	\$1,223,044	\$1,600,500	\$1,323,432	\$330,858	\$330,858	\$330,858	\$330,858
542344	WEST COAST TEL OF CA	\$1,211,956	\$1,323,072	\$273,864	\$68,466	\$68,466	\$68,466	\$68,466
542346	PINNACLES TEL CO	\$155,858	\$167,712	\$188,136	\$47,034	\$47,034	\$47,034	\$47,034
543402	CITIZENS GOLDN STATE	\$1,391,666	\$1,913,796	\$1,707,864	\$426,966	\$426,966	\$426,966	\$426,966
544342	CITIZENS TUOLUMNE	\$1,788,092	\$2,142,840	\$1,669,020	\$417,255	\$417,255	\$417,255	\$417,255
545170	PACIFIC BELL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	COLORADO	\$29,084,089	\$28,273,371	\$28,096,014	\$7,134,336	\$6,863,640	\$7,049,019	\$7,049,019
461835	SUNFLOWER TEL - CO	\$90,681	\$176,220	\$126,990	\$29,679	\$29,679	\$33,816	\$33,816
462178	AGATE TEL CO	\$22,116	\$15,060	\$15,552	\$3,888	\$3,888	\$3,888	\$3,888
462181	BIJOU TEL COOP ASSOC	\$0	\$0	\$80,538	\$18,897	\$20,547	\$20,547	\$20,547
462182	BLANCA TEL CO	\$271,957	\$270,576	\$311,280	\$77,820	\$77,820	\$77,820	\$77,820
462184	DELTA COUNTY TEL CO	\$626,735	\$1,096,578	\$1,087,764	\$271,941	\$271,941	\$271,941	\$271,941
462185	CENTURYTEL OF EAGLE	\$18,391,973	\$18,507,024	\$18,507,024	\$4,626,756	\$4,626,756	\$4,626,756	\$4,626,756
462186	EASTERN SLOPE RURAL	\$237,060	\$221,766	\$240,636	\$60,159	\$60,159	\$60,159	\$60,159
462187	EL PASO COUNTY TEL	\$125,448	\$164,304	\$184,008	\$46,002	\$46,002	\$46,002	\$46,002
462188	FARMERS TEL CO - CO	\$155,889	\$146,868	\$186,672	\$46,668	\$46,668	\$46,668	\$46,668
462190	HAXTUN TEL CO	\$171,766	\$201,936	\$381,300	\$95,325	\$95,325	\$95,325	\$95,325

Table 3 12
High Cost Loop Payment Projections By Study Area

Study Area ID Code	Study Area Name	1998 Total	1999 Total	2000 Total	2000 1st Quarter	2000 2nd Quarter	2000 3rd Quarter	2000 4th Quarter
	COLORADO (CONT.)							
462192	BIG SANDY TELECOM	\$301,898	\$228,696	\$259,068	\$64,767	\$64,767	\$64,767	\$64,767
462193	NUCLA-NATURITA TEL	\$186,538	\$208,260	\$182,748	\$45,687	\$45,687	\$45,687	\$45,687
462194	NUNN TEL CO	\$127,999	\$147,627	\$189,564	\$47,391	\$47,391	\$47,391	\$47,391
462195	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	\$260,649	\$279,204	\$302,559	\$68,202	\$77,985	\$78,186	\$78,186
462196	PEETZ COOP TEL CO	\$73,816	\$62,388	\$65,172	\$16,293	\$16,293	\$16,293	\$16,293
462197	PHILLIPS COUNTY TEL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
462198	PINE DRIVE TEL CO	\$3,474	\$12,288	\$14,268	\$3,567	\$3,567	\$3,567	\$3,567
462199	PLAINS COOP TEL ASSN	\$649,585	\$736,116	\$785,784	\$196,446	\$196,446	\$196,446	\$196,446
462201	RICO TEL CO	\$18,431	\$26,832	\$19,476	\$4,869	\$4,869	\$4,869	\$4,869
462202	ROGGEN TEL COOP CO	\$162,110	\$147,624	\$150,324	\$37,581	\$37,581	\$37,581	\$37,581
462203	RYE TELEPHONE CO	\$452,901	\$532,560	\$707,730	\$175,386	\$175,386	\$178,479	\$178,479
462204	COLUMBINE ACQ CORP	\$347,946	\$655,875	\$585,525	\$137,901	\$149,208	\$149,208	\$149,208
462206	STONEHAM COOP TEL CO	\$18,851	\$13,476	\$13,656	\$3,414	\$3,414	\$3,414	\$3,414
462207	STRASBURG TEL CO	\$249,982	\$276,897	\$272,880	\$68,220	\$68,220	\$68,220	\$68,220
462208	CENTURYTEL-COLORADO	\$1,802,793	\$2,073,960	\$2,044,368	\$505,020	\$505,020	\$517,164	\$517,164
462209	WIGGINS TEL ASSOC	\$161,501	\$85,284	\$103,680	\$25,920	\$25,920	\$25,920	\$25,920
462210	WILLARD TEL CO	\$16,529	\$12,324	\$12,696	\$3,174	\$3,174	\$3,174	\$3,174
465102	U S WEST, INC. - CO	\$4,155,464	\$1,973,628	\$1,264,752	\$453,363	\$159,927	\$325,731	\$325,731
	TOTAL CONNECTICUT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
132454	THE WOODBURY TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
135200	SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL DELAWARE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
565010	DIAMOND STATE TEL	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
575020	C & P TEL CO OF WASH	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL FLORIDA	\$11,300,827	\$9,800,268	\$10,582,068	\$2,645,517	\$2,645,517	\$2,645,517	\$2,645,517
210291	GTC, INC.	\$365,735	\$332,148	\$297,192	\$74,298	\$74,298	\$74,298	\$74,298
210318	FRONTIER COMM-SOUTH	\$160,447	\$150,216	\$441,996	\$110,499	\$110,499	\$110,499	\$110,499
210328	GTE FLORIDA INC.	\$440,114	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
210329	GTC, INC.	\$622,225	\$583,872	\$161,952	\$40,488	\$40,488	\$40,488	\$40,488
210330	VISTA-UNITED TELCOM	\$1,783,332	\$2,085,468	\$3,697,044	\$924,261	\$924,261	\$924,261	\$924,261
210331	ITS TELECOMM. SYS.	\$786,003	\$808,488	\$839,004	\$209,751	\$209,751	\$209,751	\$209,751
210335	NORTHEAST FLORIDA	\$954,310	\$926,412	\$1,034,724	\$258,681	\$258,681	\$258,681	\$258,681
210336	ALLTEL FLORIDA INC.	\$2,328,182	\$2,662,320	\$2,474,424	\$618,606	\$618,606	\$618,606	\$618,606
210338	QUINCY TEL CO-FL DIV	\$403,241	\$559,152	\$733,824	\$183,456	\$183,456	\$183,456	\$183,456
210339	GTC, INC.	\$2,418,638	\$1,692,192	\$901,908	\$225,477	\$225,477	\$225,477	\$225,477
210341	SPRINT-FLORIDA, INC.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
215191	SOUTHERN BELL-FL	\$1,038,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL GEORGIA	\$41,660,333	\$37,700,325	\$42,690,783	\$10,431,519	\$10,655,574	\$10,801,845	\$10,801,845
220324	VALLEY TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
220338	QUINCY TEL CO-GA DIV	\$104,778	\$110,298	\$106,752	\$26,688	\$26,688	\$26,688	\$26,688
220344	ALMA TEL CO	\$717,227	\$913,092	\$810,660	\$202,665	\$202,665	\$202,665	\$202,665
220346	BLUE RIDGE TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
220347	BRANTLEY TEL CO	\$730,227	\$758,424	\$734,724	\$183,681	\$183,681	\$183,681	\$183,681
220348	BULLOCH COUNTY RURAL	\$738,592	\$664,524	\$671,172	\$167,793	\$167,793	\$167,793	\$167,793
220351	CAMDEN TEL & TEL CO	\$15,242	\$174,504	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
220354	CHICKAMAUGA TEL CORP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
220355	CITIZENS TEL CO - GA	\$719,036	\$711,396	\$818,664	\$204,666	\$204,666	\$204,666	\$204,666
220356	COASTAL UTILITIES	\$1,854,636	\$1,272,756	\$1,421,328	\$355,332	\$355,332	\$355,332	\$355,332
220357	ALLTEL GEORGIA INC	\$5,047,935	\$5,882,277	\$6,220,998	\$1,523,421	\$1,565,859	\$1,565,859	\$1,565,859
220358	DARIEN TEL CO	\$999,932	\$692,316	\$765,552	\$191,388	\$191,388	\$191,388	\$191,388
220360	ELLIJAY TEL CO	\$1,857,463	\$1,854,708	\$2,277,240	\$569,310	\$569,310	\$569,310	\$569,310
220362	FRONTIER-FAIRMOUNT	\$374,427	\$410,688	\$378,720	\$94,680	\$94,680	\$94,680	\$94,680
220364	GEORGIA TEL CORP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
220365	GLENWOOD TEL CO	\$3,138	\$10,344	\$12,564	\$3,141	\$3,141	\$3,141	\$3,141
220368	HART TEL CO	\$719,694	\$298,608	\$744,144	\$186,036	\$186,036	\$186,036	\$186,036
220369	COMSOUTH TELECOMM	\$511,483	\$637,308	\$540,348	\$135,087	\$135,087	\$135,087	\$135,087
220371	INTERSTATE TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
220375	NELSON-BALL GROUND	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
220376	PEMBROKE TEL CO	\$598,746	\$592,872	\$697,284	\$174,321	\$174,321	\$174,321	\$174,321
220377	PINELAND TEL COOP	\$663,729	\$927,228	\$983,400	\$245,850	\$245,850	\$245,850	\$245,850
220378	PLANTERS RURAL COOP	\$748,178	\$957,408	\$946,692	\$236,673	\$236,673	\$236,673	\$236,673
220379	PLANT TEL CO	\$1,154,162	\$991,896	\$1,313,052	\$328,263	\$328,263	\$328,263	\$328,263
220380	PROGRESSIVE RURAL	\$19,899	\$69,144	\$80,916	\$20,229	\$20,229	\$20,229	\$20,229
220381	PUBLIC SERVICE TEL	\$2,658,062	\$2,831,028	\$3,288,141	\$719,742	\$758,619	\$904,890	\$904,890
220382	RINGGOLD TEL CO	\$0	\$0	\$428,220	\$0	\$142,740	\$142,740	\$142,740